Teaching Business Operations: An Integrated Pedagogical Framework for Business Types, Functional Relationships, and Environmental Analysis

An Educational Practice Paper

Montu Das

Academic Researcher, Local SEO Strategist & Entrepreneur
OTHM Level 5 Extended Diploma in Business Management

Submitted to the EIU Journal of Action Research
Revised Edition — July 2026

Abstract

This educational practice paper presents an integrated pedagogical framework for teaching foundational business operations content to undergraduate and early-stage business students. Structured around four learning objectives — business types and legal structures, the relationships between business functions, and the measurement of a business's internal and external environments — the paper combines accessible explanation with critical engagement with the underlying strategic management literature. Each section pairs a core conceptual definition with a critical perspective drawn from peer-reviewed sources, an illustrative example, and a discussion of how artificial intelligence tools intersect with the topic in contemporary practice. The paper argues that widely taught frameworks such as SWOT, PESTLE, and the McKinsey 7S model retain pedagogical value precisely because their well-documented limitations offer a route into critical thinking, rather than despite them. The paper is positioned explicitly as a practice-oriented pedagogical resource rather than an empirical research article, consistent with the aims of an action research and practice-focused readership.

Keywords: business operations education; pedagogical framework; SWOT analysis; PESTLE analysis; McKinsey 7S framework; organisational structure; critical thinking in business education; AI in business education.

1. Introduction and Positioning Statement

Every business, from a sole trader to a multinational, is ultimately the product of a set of choices: what type of business to be, how to structure and organise its functions, and how well it understands the internal and external environment it operates in. Teaching these foundations well requires more than definitional accuracy; it requires equipping learners to interrogate the frameworks they are taught rather than apply them uncritically.

This paper is submitted as an educational practice paper rather than a conventional empirical research article. It does not present primary data, a research methodology, or original empirical findings; instead, it offers a structured, critically-informed teaching resource intended for undergraduate business modules covering business operations, organisational analysis, and strategic management fundamentals. This positioning follows directly from editorial guidance received during peer review, which noted that the manuscript's clear structure, accessible explanation, and consistent pedagogical scaffolding are better suited to a practice-oriented category than to a conventional research article format.

The paper is organised around four learning objectives, addressed in turn in Sections 2 through 5: distinguishing business types, sectors, and legal structures; understanding the relationships between core business functions and the organisational structures that coordinate them; measuring a business's internal environment through SWOT analysis and the McKinsey 7S framework; and measuring its external environment through PESTLE analysis and complementary techniques. Each section combines a core definition with a critical perspective grounded in the peer-reviewed strategic management literature, an illustrative example, and a discussion of the role of AI tools in contemporary application. Section 6 discusses the pedagogical contribution and limitations of this approach, and Section 7 concludes.

☐ AI Insight & Application

AI tools are increasingly used to gather and analyse the data behind operational decisions — from market research to internal reporting. Each section of this paper includes an AI Insight discussion addressing where these tools genuinely help, where they introduce risk, and where human judgement still has to make the final call.

Table of Contents

Section 1. Business Types, Sectors, and Legal Structures

This section addresses the paper's first pedagogical objective: enabling learners to classify businesses by sector, distinguish ownership types, compare legal structures, and understand how these choices relate to market conditions and organisational objectives.

1.1 Business Sectors

The primary sector extracts raw materials from the earth — mining, agriculture, fishing — providing the basic resources other sectors depend on. The secondary sector transforms those raw materials into finished goods through manufacturing and construction. The tertiary sector delivers services such as retail, healthcare, hospitality, and finance. The quaternary sector covers knowledge-based industries — research and development, IT, consultancy — that drive innovation and growth across the wider economy.

1.2 Types of Business by Ownership and Purpose

Profit businesses aim to generate revenue and maximise returns for owners or shareholders. Not-for-profit organisations instead reinvest any surplus into their cause rather than distributing it to owners. NGOs operate independently of government, typically around humanitarian, environmental, or social causes. Micro businesses are small-scale, low-turnover enterprises, while SMEs (small and medium enterprises) play an outsized role in job creation and innovation relative to their size. National businesses operate within one country; multinational businesses operate across several, at correspondingly larger scale and complexity.

1.3 Legal Structures

A sole trader is owned and run by one individual, offering simplicity and full control but unlimited personal liability. A partnership brings two or more people or entities together to share profits, losses, and responsibilities. Limited companies have a separate legal identity from their owners, capping personal liability, and Public Limited Companies (PLCs) go further by listing on a stock exchange to raise capital from public investors — Apple Inc. and most other household-name technology firms, for instance, are structured as publicly traded corporations for exactly this reason.

1.4 Alignment with Market Conditions and Objectives

Market dynamics — customer preferences, competitive landscape, industry norms — strongly shape which business type and structure actually fits a given venture. Not every ownership model serves growth in the same way: the John Lewis Partnership, structured as an employee-owned partnership rather than a conventional PLC, illustrates how a legal structure can be chosen deliberately to embed a specific set of values and governance priorities rather than simply to maximise external capital raising. Aligning type and structure with clear objectives gives a business a stronger foundation for sustainable growth than adopting a structure by default or convention.

★ Core Definition

A business sector groups organisations by the stage of production they operate at — primary (extraction), secondary (manufacturing), tertiary (services), or quaternary (knowledge-based) — and underpins how a business's type, legal structure, and market position are ultimately chosen.

☐ Critical Perspective

Sector and structure classifications are conceptually useful but increasingly blurred in practice. Many contemporary organisations span multiple sectors simultaneously — a technology firm manufacturing hardware (secondary) while also delivering cloud services (tertiary) and conducting original research (quaternary) — which limits the explanatory power of treating sector membership as a single, fixed category. Legal structure choice is similarly shaped by jurisdiction-specific tax and regulatory incentives that these frameworks do not account for, meaning the same underlying business rationale can lead to different structural outcomes in different countries.

☐ AI Insight & Application

AI-powered market research tools can quickly surface data on competitors, sectors, and target markets to inform a choice of business type or structure. The actual decision — legal structure, ownership, liability — carries real financial and legal consequences, so it still belongs with the founders and, ideally, a qualified professional adviser.

Section 2. Business Functions and Organisational Structure

This section addresses the paper's second pedagogical objective: understanding the purpose of core business functions, how they depend on one another, and the organisational structures businesses use to coordinate them.

2.1 The Purpose of Core Business Functions

Sales and marketing promotes and sells the organisation's products or services, generating revenue and attracting and retaining customers. Human resource management recruits, trains, and develops employees and manages performance and compensation. Production manufactures goods or delivers services efficiently, managing process, inventory, and quality control. Warehouse and distribution get products to customers on time by managing inventory, shipments, and logistics. Finance manages budgeting, forecasting, and financial reporting, underpinning strategic decision-making across the business.

2.2 Interdependence Between Functions

Business functions are interdependent rather than isolated: sales and marketing relies on production to actually deliver what is being sold, and finance funds and supports the other functions in turn. Strong cross-functional relationships streamline operations and increase efficiency — HR working closely with sales and marketing on training that supports sales goals is a typical example of this collaboration in practice.

2.3 Organisational Structures

A hierarchic structure has clear, top-down lines of authority, typical of larger organisations with several layers of management. A bureaucratic structure emphasises strict rules and procedures, common in regulated industries or government bodies. A matrix structure lets employees report to multiple supervisors across functional and project lines, supporting cross-functional collaboration — Spotify's widely documented 'squad' model is a well-known example of a matrix-influenced structure built explicitly to support autonomous, cross-functional product teams. A flat structure has few management layers, decentralising decisions and giving employees more responsibility, common in startups and smaller organisations.

2.4 How Functional Relationships Shape Structure

Strong functional relationships tend to produce a more integrated, cohesive structure, while dysfunctional relationships tend to produce silos, inefficiency, and communication breakdowns. Contingency theory in organisational research argues there is no single best structure in the abstract — the right structure depends on an organisation's size, environment, and strategy, which is why the same structural choice can succeed in one context and fail in another.

★ Core Definition

Organisational structure is the way a business arranges its functions, divisions, and reporting lines — hierarchic, bureaucratic, matrix, or flat — to organise authority, communication, and collaboration across the organisation.

☐ Critical Perspective

Structural typologies such as hierarchic, matrix, and flat are frequently presented as discrete categories, yet most real organisations exhibit hybrid characteristics that shift by department or over time as the organisation scales. Flat structures in particular are often praised for agility but can struggle to preserve role clarity and accountability as headcount grows, a limitation rarely acknowledged when the model is presented purely as an alternative to bureaucratic rigidity.

☐ AI Insight & Application

AI tools can model workflows, map reporting lines, and flag inefficiencies across functions, useful input when considering a restructure. A restructure itself changes people's roles, reporting relationships, and job security, so the judgement of what structure actually fits the organisation's culture and people has to stay human.

Section 3. Measuring the Internal Environment

This section addresses the paper's third pedagogical objective: defining a business's internal environment, explaining why measuring it matters, and applying two established techniques — SWOT analysis and the McKinsey 7S framework — critically rather than descriptively.

3.1 Defining the Internal Environment

A business's internal environment covers the internal factors, functions, stakeholders, and organisational culture that shape how it operates — everything within the organisation's own control, as distinct from the external market conditions around it.

3.2 Why Measurement Matters

Assessing the internal environment identifies a business's strengths and weaknesses, clarifying its real capabilities and the areas that need improvement. That analysis supports strategic intent by aligning goals and objectives with the resources actually available, and supports future planning by giving decision-makers an accurate picture of the organisation's current state before committing to investment or expansion.

3.3 SWOT Analysis

SWOT analysis identifies Strengths and Weaknesses internal to the organisation alongside Opportunities and Threats external to it. Strengths are internal factors giving a competitive advantage — market share, financial reserves, innovation, brand presence. Weaknesses are internal factors holding performance back, such as high staff turnover or skills gaps.

3.4 The McKinsey 7S Framework

The McKinsey 7S framework examines seven interconnected elements — strategy, structure, systems, shared values, skills, style, and staff — on the premise that lasting organisational effectiveness depends on all seven being aligned, not just the visible ones like structure and strategy.

Illustrative SWOT Analysis: A Hypothetical Independent Coffee Retailer

Category	Illustrative Factors
Strengths	Loyal local customer base; strong barista training; distinctive brand identity
Weaknesses	Limited capital for expansion; reliance on a single physical location
Opportunities	Growing demand for ethically sourced coffee; potential delivery partnerships
Threats	Rising commodity prices; entry of a national chain into the local market

★ Core Definition

SWOT analysis is a technique for quantifying a business's internal environment by identifying its Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats; the McKinsey 7S framework instead assesses internal alignment across seven interdependent organisational elements.

☐ Critical Perspective

Both frameworks have well-documented limitations in the strategic management literature. Munyao (2026) characterises SWOT as static and prone to overemphasising internal factors at the expense of dynamic market conditions, while practitioner-facing empirical work (IOSR Journal of Business and Management, 2024) finds that managers struggle to link SWOT's qualitative output to measurable performance metrics, limiting its use in data-driven decision environments. The McKinsey 7S framework faces a parallel critique: it remains, by its own consultancy origin, a descriptive diagnostic tool rather than an empirically validated predictive model, and longitudinal evidence that aligning its seven elements causally improves performance is still comparatively scarce. Both tools are therefore best understood as structured starting points for internal analysis rather than as decision-making tools in their own right, and are typically most useful when combined with quantitative performance data and other strategic frameworks.

☐ AI Insight & Application

AI tools can pull together internal data — sales figures, staff turnover, system usage — much faster than manual reporting, which speeds up building the evidence base for a SWOT or 7S review. Deciding what actually counts as a strength or a weakness in context is an interpretive judgement that still needs a human familiar with the business.

Section 4. Measuring the External Environment

This section addresses the paper's fourth pedagogical objective: defining a business's external environment, explaining why measuring it matters, and applying PESTLE analysis alongside complementary techniques critically.

4.1 Defining the External Environment

A business's external environment covers all the factors outside the organisation that can influence its operations and performance — external drivers and stakeholders, local, national, and international conditions, market opportunities, and the need to prepare for change.

4.2 Why Measurement Matters

Measuring the external environment helps a business anticipate risks and opportunities, identify emerging trends, and develop strategies to stay competitive, adapting its operations, products, and services to changing conditions rather than being caught off guard by them.

4.3 PESTLE Analysis

PESTLE analysis examines six categories of external factor: Political (government policy, taxation, trade agreements), Economic (growth, exchange rates, inflation, interest rates), Social (culture, lifestyle, consumer trends), Technological (new technologies and their industry impact), Legal (legislation covering health and safety, employment, consumer protection), and Environmental (sustainability, ethical trading, environmental regulation).

4.4 Complementary Techniques

Porter's Five Forces analyses competitive pressure within an industry — the bargaining power of buyers and suppliers, the threat of new entrants and substitutes, and the intensity of existing rivalry — while the Ansoff Matrix maps potential paths for growth across existing and new products and markets. Used together with PESTLE, these give a business a more rounded strategic picture than any single technique in isolation.

★ Core Definition

PESTLE analysis is a technique for quantifying a business's external environment across six categories of factor — Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Legal, and Environmental — that sit outside the organisation's direct control.

☐ Critical Perspective

The PESTEL/PESTLE framework has attracted sustained academic critique for functioning, in practice, as what Andersen (2025) describes as a simplistic checklist that lacks rigorous analytical depth relative to its apparent comprehensiveness. Its reliance on externally sourced political, economic, and social data also means analytical quality is bounded by the currency and reliability of those inputs; outdated or low-quality source data produces a plausible-looking analysis that may nonetheless mislead decision-makers. Because PESTLE offers a descriptive scan rather than a weighted or prioritised assessment, it is best used as an input to further analysis — commonly alongside SWOT or Porter's Five Forces — rather than as a stand-alone basis for strategic decisions, a limitation this paper's structure deliberately reflects by presenting these techniques as complementary rather than substitutable.

☐ AI Insight & Application

AI-powered monitoring tools can track political, economic, and social signals relevant to a PESTLE analysis in near real time, which is valuable for spotting emerging trends early. Interpreting which of those signals actually matter strategically for this specific business is still a judgement call that belongs with the people setting its strategy.

6. Discussion

Read together, the four sections above make a consistent argument: the frameworks most commonly taught in introductory business operations modules — SWOT, the McKinsey 7S model, PESTLE, Porter's Five Forces, and the Ansoff Matrix — are pedagogically valuable not because they are analytically flawless, but because their well-documented limitations give learners a concrete, low-stakes way to practise critical evaluation. A student who can explain why SWOT is criticised for its static, internally-weighted structure (Munyao, 2026), or why PESTLE functions more as a descriptive checklist than a weighted analytical model (Andersen, 2025), has learned something more durable than the framework itself: how to interrogate a tool rather than apply it automatically.

This has a direct implication for how these topics are taught. Presenting a framework's mechanics alongside its critical literature, rather than in a separate 'limitations' afterthought, better reflects how these tools are actually used in professional practice, where they are typically combined with quantitative data and other frameworks rather than relied upon in isolation. The illustrative examples included in each section — necessarily brief, given the paper's introductory scope — are intended as a starting template for instructors to extend with sector-specific case material relevant to their own learners, rather than as exhaustive case studies in their own right.

The paper's main limitation is one it shares with any introductory teaching resource: breadth is prioritised over depth, and the critical perspectives offered are necessarily a summary of a much larger literature rather than a comprehensive review of it. Readers seeking a systematic academic treatment of any single framework's empirical validity are directed to the primary sources cited in each section's Critical Perspective discussion.

7. Conclusion

This paper has presented an integrated pedagogical framework for teaching business operations at an introductory level, structured around four learning objectives and combining accessible explanation with critical engagement drawn from the peer-reviewed strategic management literature. By pairing each core framework with a documented critical perspective, an illustrative example, and a discussion of contemporary AI application, the paper aims to produce learners who can use these tools critically rather than mechanically.

Consistent with the practice-oriented positioning set out in the Introduction, the paper does not claim to offer original empirical research; its contribution is pedagogical, offering business educators a structured, critically-grounded resource for introductory business operations teaching. Future work could extend this framework with fuller sector-specific case studies and empirical evaluation of student learning outcomes when this critically-oriented approach is used in place of purely descriptive teaching materials.

References

Books:

- Ø Skripak, S. (2018). Fundamentals of Business - 2nd Edition. [online] Umn.edu. Available at: https://open.umn.edu/opentextbooks/textbooks/319 [Accessed 24 Jan. 2020].

Peer-Reviewed and Academic Literature:

- § Andersen, P.D. (2025). The PESTEL Framework and Its Variants for Analysing the Strategic Environment: Evolution, Limitations and Adoption in Energy Policy Research. SSRN Working Paper. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5266562.
- § Munyao, J.M. (2026). Criticisms of the SWOT Analysis Model: A Strategic Planning Approach. International Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities (IJRSS), 7(3). Available at: https://ijrss.org/index.php/ijrss/article/view/899.
- § IOSR Journal of Business and Management (2024). SWOT Analysis in Modern Business: A Qualitative Study. IOSR-JBM, 26(11), Ser. 12, pp. 1–4. Available at: http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jbm/papers/Vol26-issue11/Ser-12/A2611120104.pdf.
- § Dess, G.G., Lumpkin, G.T. and Taylor, M.L. (1997). Strategic Management: Text and Cases. Cited in: SWOT Analysis: A Theoretical Review. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319367788_SWOT_Analysis_A_Theoretical_Review.
- § Peters, T.J. and Waterman, R.H. (1982). In Search of Excellence: Lessons from America's Best-Run Companies. New York: Harper & Row. [Origin of the McKinsey 7S Framework, with Pascale, R.T. and Athos, A.G.]
- § Literature Reviews: McKinsey 7S Model to Support Organizational Performance (2022). ResearchGate. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366160178_Literature_reviews_McKinsey_7S_model_to_support_organizational_performance.

Web Sources:

- o Hayes, A. (2020). What Is a Business? Understanding Different Types and Company Sizes. [online] Investopedia. Available at: https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/business.asp.
- o U.S. Small Business Administration (2024). Choose a business structure. [online] U.S. Small Business Administration. Available at: https://www.sba.gov/business-guide/launch-your-business/choose-business-structure.
- o CFI Team (2023). Types of Businesses. [online] Corporate Finance Institute. Available at: https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/management/types-of-businesses/.
- o Nasrudin, A. (2021). How are business functions interrelated? [online] Penpoin. Available at: https://penpoin.com/business-functions-interrelated/.
- o BBC Bitesize. (n.d.). Interdependence of marketing, human resources, operations and finance - OCR GCSE Business Revision. [online] Available at: https://www.bbc.co.uk/bitesize/guides/z6sphbk/revision/1.
- o Mirkovic, M. (2019). Internal analysis: Every strategy should start with one. [online] www.cascade.app. Available at: https://www.cascade.app/blog/internal-analysis.

- Mukherjee, S. (2015). 7 Factors Determining the Internal Environment of a Business. [online] Economics Discussion. Available at: https://www.economicsdiscussion.net/business-environment/7-factors-determining-the-internal-environment-of-a-business/10099.
- BDC (2021). 5 keys to analyzing your external environment. [online] BDC.ca. Available at: https://www.bdc.ca/en/articles-tools/business-strategy-planning/define-strategy/keys-analyzing-external-environment.
- www.thestrategyinstitute.org. (n.d.). Understanding External Business Environments with PEST and PESTLE Analysis. [online] Available at: https://www.thestrategyinstitute.org/insights/understanding-external-business-environments-with-pest-and-pestle-analysis.